

<b>Notice of References Cited</b>	Application/Control No. 10/582,887		Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination MALYSKA ET AL.	
	Examiner GAILENE R. GABEL		Art Unit 1641	Page 1 of 1

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	A	US-5,552,064	09-1996	Chachowski et al.	210/787
	B	US-			
	C	US-			
	D	US-			
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

#### NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	Novaretti et al., Comparison of conventional tube test with diamed gel microcolumn assay for anti-D titration, Clin. Lab. Haem. 25: 311-315 (2003).
	V	Byrne et al., A Comparison of two column agglutination technologies for routine antibody screening using the indirect antiglobulin technique, British Journal of Biomedical Science 53: 193-195 (1996).
	W	
	X	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)  
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.